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#### Abstract

A general procedure is described which enables the calculation of so-called 'magic' strain tensors, i.e. symmetric tensors which transform a specified space lattice into itself. Numerical examples for cubic lattices are given.


Introduction. The lattice constants of a space lattice may be changed by the application of a homogeneous strain, conveniently described by a symmetric strain tensor. This tensor could be such that the strained lattice is indistinguishable from the unstrained lattice, apart from a rotation. Following Boyer (1989), we will call such a tensor 'magic', and present a method to find such tensors by straightforward calculation.

Method of calculation. Consider the space lattices $\mathbf{a}_{h k l}=h \mathbf{a}_{1}+k \mathbf{a}_{2}+l \mathbf{a}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{h k l}=h \mathbf{b}_{1}+k \mathbf{b}_{2}+$ $k \mathbf{b}_{3}$, with $h, k, l$ integers, and the unit-cell vectors $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{3}$ defined by their components $a_{1 x} \ldots, b_{3 z}$ in some Cartesian frame of reference $X Y Z$. A $3 \times 3$ tensor T transforms the $a$ lattice into the $b$ lattice if $\mathrm{T} A=B$, or $\mathrm{T}=B A^{-1}$, where $A_{i j}=a_{j i}$ and $B_{i j}=b_{j i}$ ( $i, j=1,2,3 ; x, y, z$ replaced by $1,2,3$, respectively).

In general, T will be not symmetric, but, according to the polar-decomposition theorem (e.g. Leigh,1968), $T$ can be written as the product of an orthogonal tensor $\mathbf{Q}$ and a positive-definite tensor $\mathbf{U}$, i.e. $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{Q U}$. We can then write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U} A=\mathbf{R} B \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$, expressing that a symmetric tensor U can be found that transforms the $a$ lattice into the $b$ lattice, provided $b$ is rotated into the proper
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orientation with respect to $a$. $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ can be found from

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{U}=(\tilde{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T})^{1 / 2}  \tag{2}\\
\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{U T}^{-1} . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the matrices $A$ and $B$ are constructed from different (primitive) unit cells of the same lattice, $\mathbf{U}$ will be a magic tensor for that lattice.
The treatment can be extended to more than three dimensions, as can the notion of magic tensors.

Practical execution. In order to obtain $\mathbf{P}^{1 / 2}$ from $\mathbf{P}=\tilde{T} T, P$ must be diagonalized,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}^{\prime}=S^{-1} \mathbf{P S} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

involving the calculation of the diagonal elements $p_{i}$ of $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$, which are the (positive) roots of a cubic equation (see, e.g., International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1959). $\mathbf{P}^{1 / 2}$ follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}^{1 / 2}=S\left(\mathbf{P}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} S^{-1}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\mathbf{P}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ by replacing $p_{i}$ by $\left(p_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
The significance of $\mathbf{U}$ becomes apparent by diagonalization: the strain may be viewed as equivalent to different expansions in three mutually perpendicular directions. These principal directions are given by the eigenvectors (which are the column vectors of $S$ ), the expansions by the eigenvalues $u_{i}\left(=p_{i}{ }^{1 / 2}\right)$ of U . Obviously, $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}=1$. The orthogonal tensor $\mathbf{R}$ describes a © 1990 International Union of Crystallography
rotation through an angle $\gamma$ about an axis with direction cosines $l_{i}$, which can be calculated from

$$
\begin{gather*}
\cos \gamma=\frac{1}{2}|\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{R})-1|  \tag{6}\\
l_{i}=\left(-\mathbf{R}_{j k}+\mathbf{R}_{k j}\right) / 2 \sin \gamma \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $i_{\lambda} j, k$ being a cyclic permutation of $1,2,3$. If $\gamma=180^{\circ}$ the $l_{i}$ must be calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i}= \pm\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\mathbf{R}_{i i}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{7'}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sign should be chosen to comply with $\mathbf{R}_{i j}=2 l_{i} l_{j}, i \neq j$.

Results. Three examples are given to illustrate the method. Only cubic lattices (simple, as well as face-centered) are considered, in order to facilitate interpretation. The conventional lattice constant is taken as the unit of length. Unfortunately, the connection with integral numbers (e.g. $1.414214=2^{1 / 2}$ ) is obscured by machine calculations. Whenever figures in decimal notation could be identified as resulting from simple algebraic operations on integral numbers, they have been written so. Symbols $r, s$ and $t$ are short for $2^{1 / 2}, 6^{1 / 2}$ and $41^{1 / 2}$, respectively.

## Example 1

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

i.e. a simple cubic lattice. Since $\mathrm{T}=B A^{-1}, \mathrm{~T}=B$, and the eigenvalues of $P$ are the roots of the cubic equation

$$
p^{3}-5 p^{2}+6 p-1=0
$$

$3.246980,1.554958$ and 0.198062 , respectively. From this, $S, \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ may be calculated:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.328 & 0.737 & -0.591 \\
0.737 & -0.591 & -0.328 \\
0.591 & 0.328 & 0.737
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathrm{U}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.871119 & 0.483435 & -0.086268 \\
0.483435 & 1.268286 & 0.397167 \\
-0.086268 & 0.397167 & 1.354554
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.871 & -0.388 & 0.301 \\
0.483 & 0.785 & -0.388 \\
-0.086 & 0.483 & 0.871
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Since none of the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{U}(1.802,1.247$, 0.445 ) equals 1 , and neither the rotation axis nor one of the eigenvectors of $U$ is along a low-index direction, the significance of $U$ is not immediate-
ly obvious. However, it is easily verified that $\mathbf{U}$ is a magic tensor of the simple cubic lattice.

## Example 2

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
B=\mathbf{R}^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]=r^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1+r^{-1} \\
1 & 0 & 1-r^{-1} \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. a simple cubic lattice. $\mathbf{R}^{\prime}$ is a $90^{\circ}$ c.c.w. rotation about [ $\overline{1} 10$ ]. U is calculated to be

$$
\mathbf{U}=\frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 s+3 & -s & -2 s+3 \\
-s & 2 s & s \\
-2 s+3 & s & 2 s+3
\end{array}\right]
$$

with eigenvalues $\frac{1}{2}(s+r), \frac{1}{2}(s-r), 1$. The third eigenvector (corresponding to the unit eigenvalue) is in the [101|direction; the angle between the second eigenvector and the [0] 0 ] direction is $a, \tan 2 a=r$. The effect of U is a rearrangement of lattice points in (101) planes, resulting in a c.w. rotation of the rectangular grid through an angle $\beta, \tan \beta=r$. This is apparent from $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^{\prime}$ rather than from R:

$$
\mathbf{R}=\frac{1}{24} r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 s+3 r+6 & 2 s-3 r+6 & -4 s+6 \\
2 s-3 r s & 2 s+3 r s & 2 s \\
-2 s+3 r+6 & -2 s-3 r+6 & 4 s+6
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example 3

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 \\
1 / 2 & 0 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\
1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

i.e. a f.c.c. lattice. $U$ can be characterized by its eigenvalues,

$$
u_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}(7 \pm t)^{1 / 2}, \quad u_{3}=r
$$

and its principal directions, which are in (for $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ ) and perpendicular (for $u_{3}$ ) to (011) planes. The $u_{2}$ direction is inclined to the [ $0 \overline{1} 1$ ] direction of close-packed rows by an angle $a$, $\tan a=1 / 8 r(t$ -3 ). As is apparent from the value of $u_{3}$, and the corresponding principal direction, ( 011 ) planes are transformed into (100) planes. The angle between the 'old' and 'new' directions of close-packed rows is $\beta$ (or $90^{\circ}-\beta$ ), $\cos \beta=\left(1+u_{1} u_{2}\right) /\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)$.

Concluding remarks. The examples chosen illustrate that the expansions $u_{i}$ must deviate significantly from 1 , in order to produce magic 'strains'. Accordingly, if the lattice points are oc-
cupied by atoms of one kind, large atomic shifts are involved, presumably incorporating high energy barriers. In favorable cases, however, the barrier height may be of the order of $k T$ at the melting point, as has been demonstrated recently (Boyer, 1989). If so, the tensors could be of practical use for the description of atomic rearrangements in small clusters near melting.
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